Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,714	EAKIN, DOYLE W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) Andrea M. Valenti.	(3)	
(2) Richard A. Ryan.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 12 January 2006	Time: <u>2:10 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 18, 1, 3-8, 11-17		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted applicant for approval for an examiner's amendment to re-insert the limitation of "concave" into claim 18 and to cancel claims 1, 3-8, 11-17.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative St	gnature – ii approphate)